Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/611,555	HASEGAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowance</u>		
(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.	(3)	
(2) Anthony Curtis.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 September 2005	Time: <u>am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A .		
Claims discussed: 20		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: correction of typographical omission		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
He for falls		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)